

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,774		11/09/2001	Hans-Karl Soest	Mo-6435/LcA 34,841	3090	
34947	7590	08/19/2004		EXAMINER		
LANXESS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT/ BLDG 14				HOPKINS, ROBERT A		
100 BAYER		ILIVI7 DEDO 14	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PITTSBUR	GH, PA	15205-9741		1724		

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	1
		į.	/007,774	SOEST ET AL.	(4)
Office Action Summ		ary Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
		Ro	bert A Hopkins	1724	
	The MAILING DATE of this co		•	'' = '	ress
Period fo	• •				
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS CON ensions of time may be available under the price of the period for reply specified above is less that the period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period reply received by the Office later than three ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within ximum statutory period will app of for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of this ly and will expire SIX (6) MOIs the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	munication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 06 Augus	t 2004.		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) ☐ This action			
3)	Since this application is in cor			ters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the				
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	- '		
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in	the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s)		om consideration		
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed.	is/are withdrawn in	om consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected.	4 40			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to		tion requirement		
•		restriction and/or elec	non requirement.		
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to				
	The drawing(s) filed on i				
	Applicant may not request that an				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc				
11)[	The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Examin	er. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a o ☐ All  b)		ty under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the pr	riority documents have	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the pr			pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified co				age
	application from the Inte	rnational Bureau (PC	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).		~
* S	ee the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	certified copies not	received.	
ttachment	(s)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	۵.
) ∐ Inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-No(s)/Mail Date	449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Patent and Tra	demark Office				
OL-326 (Re	ev. 1-04)	Office Action St	ummary	Part of Paper No./Mail Dat	e 070104

Application/Control Number: 10/007,774

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chong(4380590).

Chong teaches a decolorized juice. Examiner notes that the patentability of product by process claims are based on the patentability of the product alone.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 1 recites "a process comprising treating a colored sugar juice with a monodisperse anion exchanger having bead polymer spheres with a diameter ranging from 0.45 to 55mm, and decolorizing the sugar juice". Chong discloses a monodisperse strongly basic anion exchange resin emulsion having median particle diameters from about 0.01 to 1.5 microns. It would not have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a monodisperse anion exchanger having bead polymer spheres with a

Application/Control Number: 10/007,774

Art Unit: 1724

diameter ranging from 0.45 to 55mm because Chong does not suggest such a modification. Claims 2-5 depend on claim 1 and hence are also allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-23-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to claim 6 that Chong does not disclose the decolorized juice obtained from the amended process of claim 1.

Examiner notes that Chong in example 27 clearly teaches treating a colored sugar juice with a monodisperse anion exchanger(column 22 line 59, column 4 lines 49-62, noting controllable small particle size). Examiner also notes that claim 6 is a product by process claim, therefore the patentability of the product does not depend on the process(see MPEP 2113). Examiner notes that the product is directed to a decolorized juice, and Chong clearly teaches "decolorization of a washed raw sugar solution". Therefore, examiner respectfully submits that the decolorized juice in the product by process claim 6 is the same as or obvious from the decolorized juice of Chong, although the decolorized juice of Chong was made by a process using a monodisperse anion exchange resin emulsion having different diameter resin spheres. Examiner notes that applicant has not established that the decolorized juice obtained in example 27 has different characteristics than the decolorized juice of claim 6. Examiner notes that the decolorized juice of claim 6 does not provide any further chemical or physical characteristics which distinguish the juice from the decolorized juice of Chong.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,774

Art Unit: 1724

With regard to the 102/103 rejection to Chong, applicant argues one of ordinary skill in the art following the teachings of Chong would not have been motivated to modify Chong's practice or make applicant's invention. Examiner notes from MPEP 2113 that the use of 35 U.S.C 102/103 rejections for product by process claims has been approved by the courts, due to the lack of physical description in a product by process claim.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A Hopkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7am-4pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A Hopkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Rah August 17, 2004